

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 10/805,337 | 03/22/2004 | Norbert Stadele | STADELE2 | 9096 |
| | 1444 7590 06/25/2007 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| | 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303 | | | MUSSER, BARBARA J | |
| | | | | . ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1733 . | • |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | | 06/25/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/805,337 STADELE, NORBERT Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Barbara J. Musser 1733 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Barbara J. Musser. (2) Ronnie Jillions. (4)____ Date of Interview: 21 June 2007. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 9-11. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims

allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested clarification of the election of species. Examiner indicated that the election was before or after the webs were joined together rather than before or after the corrugating device. (Figure 1 versus Figure 7) The claims currently only require two webs, which are joined together in the corrugating device 10. If the two webs of claim 10 are the two liner boards then the claim is missing an essential component, namely the corrugated medium. If the two webs are the corrugated web and a liner board, the drawings do not show this combination.